

Some good C++ practices for using the **art** framework

Marc Paterno
Scientific Computing Division/Fermilab
Revision 5

Contents

1	Scope and intent of this document	3
2	Coding issues	4
3	Design issues	14
4	art-specific issues	22
5	Using C++11	28
6	Suggested reading	31

Thanks

I'd like to expression my appreciation for valuable input (over many years) from Walter Brown, Chris Green, Chris Jones, Jim Kowalkowski, and Rob Kutschke, for constructive input. Many of the good suggestions are theirs.

All the mistakes are my own.

1 Scope and intent of this document

This document is intended for an audience that has

- some programming experience,
- at least beginning familiarity with C++, and
- at least beginning familiarity with the **art**¹ framework.

The intent of this document is to help the reader avoid some of the more common mistakes made by those with little experience in C++, or in use of the **art** framework, or both.

C++ is a large and complex language, and so this presentation could be extended almost without limit. I've hit topics of particular interest to me.

Please feel free to interrupt with questions or comments of interest to you.

¹The **art** framework's home page is <https://cdcvs.fnal.gov/redmine/projects/art>.

2 Coding issues

Coding issues address *how we write code*. These are the lower-level “tactical” issues. There is nothing here specific to **art**; these suggestions apply to any C++ code you might ever write.

2.1. Use good names.

Good names are *crucial* to the clarity of code. This goes for *functions*, *classes*, and *variables*. Your code will be written (and re-written, or modified) a few times. It will be read many times. Make it easy to read!

If your variable, class, and function names are good enough, your code will need little commenting. Very well-written code carries *few* comments, not many comments. The need to write extensive comments is very often a sign of bad name choices.

Good names are not always long. A loop index should be called something short, like `i`, not `thisLoopIndex`.

Be wary of re-using loop variable names in the same function. While the compiler will not complain, it can cause confusion for readers. If you follow §3.4, you'll avoid this naturally.

2.2. Avoid bare use of new.

The expression `new X` allocates memory for, and then constructs (in that memory) an object of type `X`; the value of the expression is the address of the created object.

You should almost never have a call to `new` in your code. Bare calls to `new` are the most common cause of memory leaks, because one must be careful to have a matched `delete` for *all possible code paths*, including those resulting from exception throws.

```
1 int* ip1 = new int(3);           // bad:
2 std::shared_ptr<int> ip2(new int(3)); // not preferred; see below
3 std::unique_ptr<int> ip3(new int(3)); // ok, see below
4 std::auto_ptr<int> ip4(new int(3)); // ok, but deprecated;
5                                     // prefer unique_ptr
6 auto ip5 = std::make_shared<int>(3); // preferred
7                                     // ip5 is shared_ptr<int>
8 auto ip6 = cet::make_unique<int>(3); // ip6 is unique_ptr<int>
```

Listing 2.1: Good and bad uses of `new`

The `cet::make_unique` function template will be in the next release of **cetlib**.

Allocate every resource in a single code statement which initializes a manager object (e.g., a smart pointer) to manage the resource.

Don't use bare pointers as data members! If you follow this rule, your class destructors will be empty—so the compiler-generated destructor will be correct, and you don't even have to write one.

2.3. Use RAII.

RAII stands for *resource allocation is initialization*. This is a generalization of §2.2. Memory is not the only resource; file handles, database handles, or anything that can get created and destroyed, is a *resource*. Allocation and deallocation of resources (*e.g.*, opening and closing of files) should be managed through the lifetime of *objects*. The object lifetime rules are enforced by the compiler, and use of RAII ensures no leaks of resources—even if exceptions are thrown. You do not need to write `try/catch` blocks.

Commonly, the most exception-safe code contains *no* `try/catch` blocks.

```

1 #include <cerrno>
2 #include <cstdio>
3 #include <system_error>

5 struct FileMgr
6 {
7     std::FILE* const fp;
8     FileMgr(const char* name, const char* mode ) :
9     fp(std::fopen(name, mode)) {
10         if (!fp)
11             throw std::system_error(errno, std::system_category(), name);
12     }
13     FileMgr(FileMgr const&) = delete;
14     ~FileMgr() noexcept { std::fclose(fp); }
15 };

17 void some_function_that_might_throw(); // implementation not shown

19 void example() {
20     FileMgr f("myfile.txt", "w");
21     some_function_that_might_throw();
22     fprintf(f.fp, "Some silly text.\n");
23 } // fclose is called upon exit

```

Listing 2.2: A simple resource manager.

2.4. Be const-correct.

Use the compiler to help catch errors. `const` is one of the simplest ways to do this.

- If a “variable” should not change its value after initialization, declare it to be `const`. Any mistaken attempt to change it will then cause a compilation error.
- If a member function does not change the state of the object on which it is called, declare it to be `const`.
- If an argument of a function is not to be modified by that function, declare it to be `const` (this is usually a reference-to-`const`: `const&`).
- Values that can be determined at *compile-time* should be declared `constexpr`. This makes the *compiler* evaluate the expression that provides the value.

Listing 2.3: Using `constexpr`.

```
1 #include <cmath>
2 constexpr double pi() { return std::atan(1.0) * 4.0; }
3 // Calls to the function pi() will be replaced, at compile time,
4 // by the double representation of pi.
```

N.B.: This becomes even more important when programming for a multithreaded world.

2.5. Always initialize objects. Initialize variables at the point of declaration.

This goes for both *stack objects* and *member objects* (data members). Leaving an undefined object often leads to “undefined behavior”, which most often means eventual memory corruption, crashing, or both.

```
1 double x(0.0);
2 std::vector<double> v(3, 1.1); // three elements, each is 1.1
3 // Here's how to initialize for-loop variables...
4 for (std::size_t i = 0, sz = v.size(); i!=sz; ++i) { ... }
```

Listing 2.4: Initialize variables when declared.

Following these rules, the bodies of constructors of classes you write will usually be *empty*. All the initialization should be done in the *initializer-list*.

```
1 struct FileSentry {
2     std::FILE* const fp;    // our data member
3     FileSentry(const char* name, const char* mode) :
4         fp(std::fopen(name, mode)) // initializer-list (only 1 member)
5     { ... }                // implementation as shown earlier
6     ...                    // remaining implementation as before
7 }
```

Listing 2.5: Using an initializer-list.

2.6. Use caution with problematic libraries.

Sometimes you have to use libraries that do not follow good C++ practice, such as avoidance of bare pointers. When presented with such libraries, a few defensive measures are in order:

- Be sure to understand each function you have to use. Does passing a pointer to a function pass ownership of the object pointed to, or does it not?
- Sometimes the answer is “it depends on the circumstances”. In such a case, try to encapsulate the use of the dangerous resource.
- Sometimes, use of sentry objects (see §2.3) can avoid the problems inherent in a poorly designed interface.

2.7. Compiler-generated code will not contain errors.

Use compiler-generated copy constructors, copy assignment operators, and destructors whenever they do the right thing. Write your classes so they always do the right thing.

This becomes still more important with C++11, where the compiler, under the right conditions, may also supply a move constructor and a move assignment operator.

3 Design issues

Design issues address *what our code is intended to do*. These are higher-level “strategic” issues. There is nothing here specific to art; these suggestions apply to any C++ code you might ever write. Almost all of these suggestions apply to other languages as well.

3.1. Take a moment for design.

Each class, and each function, should have a clear purpose. Take a moment to think “*What* does this class (or function) do?”. Don’t think first of what a class *contains*, or how a function is *implemented*.

Some good rules of thumb:

1. For almost all classes, you should be able to express the essence of the class in one or two sentences, which do not make mention of implementation.
2. For almost all functions, you should be able to express the result of the function, without making mention of the implementation.

Example: `std::cos(double x)` calculates the cosine of the angle x , expressed in radians. Note there is no mention of lookup-tables, or calling of assembly language routines.

Since you have thought of this one- or two-sentence description of your class or function, put it at the top of the header as documentation for the class. This is probably the best concise documentation that can be provided for each class or function.

3.2. Plan for change.

Code is continually revised, updated, extended, and reused. Some up-front preparation for this makes future modifications easier.

For example, if you're introducing a second way to do something, plan for more in the future—unless other ways are logically impossible.

```
1 bool alg_b = ps.get<bool>("do_alg_b");
2 if (do_alg_b) { alg_b(); }
3 else          { alg_a(); }
```

Listing 3.1: Lack of planning. What if a third option is needed?

```
1 using art::Exception; // to make lines shorter here
2 using art::errors::Configuration;
3 std::string alg = ps.get<std::string>("alg");
4 if (alg == "alg_a")          { alg_a(); }
5 else if (alg == "alg_b") { alg_b(); }
6 else { throw Exception(Configuration, "unknown alg")
7         << alg; }
```

Listing 3.2: Good planning. New options would not break existing code.

3.3. Don't over-generalize.

The previous point (§3.2) could have been labeled “Don't under-generalize”. This point says to avoid the other extreme, *over-generalization*.

- Don't introduce infrastructure for multiple options when only one option exists.
- Don't introduce a base class when you will have only one derived class.
- Don't write a class or function template that will be instantiated for one specific type.

Abstractions always cost *some* mental overhead; introduce them when they are useful, but *only* where they are useful. Don't introduce them “just in case things change later”.

3.4. Avoid the blob-o-code.

Giant functions are hard to understand, and so are hard to get right. 200-line functions (or 2000-line functions!) are not rare in some code bases. In dozens of reviews, *I have never seen a well-written, understandable, correct function that is hundreds of lines long*. Please do not take this as a challenge to make yours the first. Have mercy on your colleagues, and make functions short enough to be understandable. Function calls (with small objects as parameters, and large objects passed by reference) are cheap. Some guidelines:

- If your function contains more than one looping or `if` block, consider encapsulating each block as its own function.
- Considering declaring the small function `inline`, or defining it using a *lambda-expression*.
- If your function contains blocks of code, set off by comments, consider making each commented section a function, with a good name that makes the comment superfluous.
- If you have *nested* control structures, consider making the inner one a function (well-named, of course).
- Consider using one of the algorithms of the Standard Library (from the Standard header `<algorithm>`, rather than writing a loop. C++11 is much improved over C++03 for this.

```

1 #include <algorithm> // for std::copy and std::for_each
2 #include <iostream>
3 #include <iterator> // for std::ostream_iterator.
4 #include <vector>
5 using namespace std; // to save space in this listing!
6 std::vector<Item> v { ... }; // initialized somehow

8 // C++ 2003: explicit loop
9 for (vector<Item>::const_iterator i = v.begin(), e = v.end();
10      i != e; ++i)
11     { cout << *i << "\n"; }

13 // C++ 2003: standard algorithm (std::copy).
14 copy(v.begin(), v.end(), ostream_iterator<Item>(cout, "\n"));

16 // C++ 2011
17 for_each(begin(v), end(v), [](Item const& x) {cout << x << "\n"; });

19 // C++ 2011, using algorithm from cetlib/container_algorithms.h
20 cet::for_all(v, [](Item const& x) {cout << x << "\n";});

22 // C++ 2011, using range-for loop
23 for (auto const& x : v) cout << x << "\n";

```

Listing 3.3: Improved functional algorithm use in C++11.

3.5. Use standard containers. Prefer `std::vector`.

In C++, use of a C-style array is rarely the correct choice. Use standard containers: `std::vector`, `std::list`, `std::deque`, `std::set` and `std::map`. C++11 introduces a few more standard containers.

`std::vector` should be your first choice for a container, unless you have a clear, specific reason to choose something else.

`std::vector` guarantees that its objects are stored in contiguous memory locations.

Use `std::array` if you require a container that has its size fixed at compilation-time. C-style arrays have no advantage over `std::array`.

Learn the interface of `std::vector`, especially the various constructors and the use of `reserve` and `resize`.

If you think you need `std::deque`, think again. It is a very special-purpose container; it is almost never the right choice.

I did not include `std::multiset` and `std::multimap` in the list of containers, because I almost never prefer them.

3.6. Don't use inheritance to implement aggregation.

Use inheritance to introduce a type that can be *re-used*, by defining an interface that can be implemented in several different ways. Example: in **art**, `EDFilter` defines an interface, and your filter classes inherit from it. `EDFilter` is used by the framework so that any class you derive from it can be used in any place that an `EDFilter` can be used.

If you want a class to contain an object of another type, add a data member of that type. Do not use inheritance.

Inheritance should be used to model *substitutability*. Inheritance induces strong *coupling* between classes, and makes it hard to change one without affecting the other. Why is this? The derived class has the *whole interface* of the class from which it inherits. A container class does not have the whole interface of any class it contains; it can choose what part, if any, of that interface to support.

An airplane may *contain* a pilot, but an airplane *is not substitutable for* a pilot.

4 **art-specific issues**

These are issues peculiar to the use of the **art** framework.

4.1. Use the module interface as designed.

All modules have a similar interface, which reflects the “lifecycle” of the event-processing loop.

- In the *constructor*, initialize all the module state that you can.
- In `beginJob`, initialize whatever could not be initialized at construction time. It is certainly safe to invoke *services* in `beginJob`. In `endJob`, clean up after anything that was initialized in `beginJob`.
- In `beginRun`, initialize whatever requires information available at the start of a new run, *e.g.*, the run number. Histograms that summarize the data for a run can be initialized here. At `endRun`, clean up after anything that was initialized at `beginRun`, *e.g.*, fitting or saving histograms. Clean up run-related things here, *not* at the next call to `beginRun`.
- `beginSubRun` and `endSubRun` are similar to `beginRun` and `endRun` (but for subruns, of course).
- In the *destructor*, clean up whatever was initialized in the constructor. N.B.: if you are following the suggestions above, your destructors are mostly empty. Then you don't have to write one, because the compiler-generated destructor will be correct.

4.2. Use the preferred form for produce.²

The interesting part of your module is the part that does the physics work, not the part that interacts with the framework. Assume `ThingFinder` has data members `tag_a_` and `tag_b_`, of type `InputTag`. The recommended form for `produce` is:

```
1 void ThingFinder::produce(art::Event& ev) {
2     auto h1 = ev.getValidHandle<InputTypeOne>(tag_a_);
3     auto h2 = ev.getValidHandle<InputTypeTwo>(tag_b_);
4     auto prod = cet::make_unique<OutputType>();
5     thingAlgorithm(*h1, *h2, *prod); // all the physics is here!
6     ev.put(std::move(prod));
7 }
```

Listing 4.1: Recommended style for writing `produce`.

The signature of the putative function `thingAlgorithm` is:

```
1 void
2 thingAlgorithm(InputTypeOne const& a, // input, const
3               InputTypeTwo const& b, // input, const
4               OutputType& out);      // note: out is non-const
```

Listing 4.2: Signature of a physics algorithm.

If `thingAlgorithm` is a member function, it should probably be declared `const`.

²and filter

Some results of this design are:

- If an exception is thrown anywhere, no memory gets leaked. The code is *exception safe*.
- If the required inputs are not found, we never even create the data product.
- The `ThingFinder` module handles all the framework-related tasks (interaction with the `Event`, `Handles`, *etc.*)
- The function `thingAlgorithm` knows about physics-related things, but isn't cluttered with framework-related things.
- Testing of `thingAlgorithm` can be done outside of an **art** job, making testing easier and thus more likely to be done.
- There is a greater chance of re-using `thingAlgorithm` in another module type.
- It might be useful to make `thingAlgorithm` a member function in an algorithm `class` that can be used elsewhere; you'd then put `thingAlgorithm` in some library that can be shared between multiple modules.

As a bonus, this code will be relatively easy to make thread-safe, when doing so becomes important.

You may benefit from the command-line utility `artmod`.

```
1  ARTMOD(1)           User Contributed Perl Documentation           ARTMOD(1)

3  NAME
4      artmod: Generate clean module source for ART.
5  SYNOPSIS
6      artmod -h | --help | -?
7      artmod --help-types
8      artmod [options] [--] module-type qualified-name
9      Options: --boilerplate|-b file |
10              --entries|--entry|-e entry+ |
11              --header-loc path |
12              --split |
13              --split-ext [lib-source-extension] |
14              --verbose|-v
15      Options marked with + are repeatable and cumulative.
16 DESCRIPTION
17  artmod is a tool to produce an ART module source skeleton for an
18  analyzer, a filter or a producer. The user can specify which opt-
19  ional member functions are to be configured and whether to split
20  the source into three files or combine it into one. In addition,
21  the name of a file wherein boilerplate comments or code may be
22  found for insertion into the source may be specified.
```

Listing 4.3: Partial output from `artmod -h`.

4.3. Communicate with your collaborators!

Software development is a social activity (antisocial programmer stereotypes notwithstanding).

- Often the best way to improve your code is to modify other code you are using. For example, you might need to add a new member function or new member data to some other class.
- Failure to do this when needed makes *your* code more complicated, and thus more likely to be wrong. It also makes your code more likely to break, since you have to maintain the added functionality of the other class outside of that class.
- Others in your collaboration are likely to duplicate your work. Often they will make trivially different choices, or different errors. Such redundant code makes everyone's work harder.
- Communicate with your collaborators! Modify the other code as needed, so that everybody's code is improved.

5 Using C++11

These issues are specific to the use of C++11. The C++11 standard introduced many new language and library features. The items mentioned here are only the tip of the iceberg.

5.1. Use the type-specifier `auto`.

Compare for clarity and brevity:

```
1 std::vector<std::string> names = ... // initialized somehow
2 for (std::vector<std::string>::const_iterator i = names.begin(),
3     e = names.end();
4     i != e; ++i) {
5     do_something_with_a_name(*i);
6 }
```

Listing 5.1: Old-style for loop.

and

```
1 std::vector<std::string> names = ... // initialize somehow
2 for (auto i = names.cbegin(), e = names.cend(); i != e; ++i) {
3     do_something_with_a_name(*i);
4 }
```

Listing 5.2: Using `auto`.

Use `auto` to declare a variable whenever the compiler can use the initializer to deduce its type.

```
1 auto x = std::cos(3.1); // auto -> double
2 auto const& y = ps.get<std::string>("n"); // auto -> std::string
3 auto z = std::qsort( ... ); // illegal: returns void
```

5.2. Use the range-based for statement.

The new *range-based for statement* allows for simpler iteration over any “range”. `std::string`, Standard Library containers, and C-style arrays are all ranges. User-defined classes which have right interface (supporting `begin` and `end`, and defining an *iterator*) are also ranges, and can be used with the range-based for.

Compare the range-based for loop to the old-style for:

```
1 typedef std::map<std::string, std::string> dictionary;
2 dictionary definitions; // filling omitted

4 // C++2003 for loop
5 for (dictionary::const_iterator i = definitions.begin(),
6      e = definitions.end();
7      i != e;
8      ++i) {
9     std::cout << i->first << ": " << i->second << '\n';
10 }

12 // C++2011 range-based for loop
13 for ( auto const& d : definitions ) {
14     std::cout << d.first << ": " << d.second << '\n';
15 }
```

Listing 5.3: Range-based for loop.

6 Suggested reading

Three books I can recommend are:

- For C++ coding advice: **C++ Coding Standards**, by Herb Sutter and Andrei Alexandrescu.
- For general programming advice: **The Pragmatic Programmer**, by Andrew Hunt and David Thomas.
- For object-oriented design advice: **Object-Oriented Design Heuristics**, by Arthur J. Riel. Some of the C++ suggestions in this book are dated, but the object-oriented design advice is excellent.

For C++11, I recommend:

- **The C++ programming Language, 4th edition**, by Bjarne Stroustrup.

A web site with good reference information is <http://en.cppreference.com/w/>.